



UDC 539.3+612.311

## Finite Element Analysis of the Influence of the Orthodontic Appliance Design on the Maxillary Expansion

S. M. Bosiakov<sup>1</sup>, A. N. Dosta<sup>2</sup>, A. V. Vinokurova<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Belarusian State University, Belarus, 220030, Minsk, Nezavisimosti ave., 4, bosiakov@bsu.by, janeraven@mail.ru

<sup>2</sup> Belarusian State Medical University, Belarus, 220116, Minsk, Dzerjinskogo ave., 83, dostastom75@mail.ru

In present paper the results of the stress-strain state finite element analysis of the human maxillary complex after activating orthodontic appliance are performed. Skull and abutment teeth models are obtained on the basis of the tomographic data of the dry intact adult skull. Orthodontic appliance designs differ in the arrangement of rods and screws relative to the sky. The equivalent stresses and displacements of the maxillary bones and supporting the teeth are evaluated. It is shown that the horizontal location of orthodontic appliance screw and rods in the skull bones having the highest stresses, but there tipping teeth and upward movement of the maxillary bones are observed. For orthodontic appliance activating with a screw located near the palate, there are decreased stresses on the maxillary bone complex, and bones and supporting teeth are moved down. The positions screw orthodontic appliance to translation the upper jaw bones are assessed.

**Key words:** rapid maxillary expansion, orthodontic device HYRAX, finite-element analysis, stress-strain state, craniofacial complex.

### References

1. Chaconas S. J., Caputo A. A. Observation of orthopedic force distribution produced by maxillary orthodontic appliances. *Am. J. Orthod.*, 1982, vol. 82, pp. 492–501.
2. Iseri H., Tekkaya A. E., Oztan O., Bilgic S. Biomechanical effects of rapid maxillary expansion on the craniofacial skeleton, studied by the finite element method. *Eur. J. Orthod.*, 1998, vol. 20, pp. 347–356.
3. Provatidis C., Georgopoulos B., Kotinas A., McDonald J. P. On the FEM modeling of craniofacial changes during rapid maxillary expansion. *Med. Eng. Phys.*, 2007, vol. 29, pp. 566–579.
4. Wang D., Cheng L., Wang C., Qian Y., Pan X. Biomechanical analysis of rapid maxillary expansion in the UCLP patient. *Med. Eng. Phys.*, 2009, vol. 31, pp. 409–417.
5. Ludwig B., Baumgaertel S., Zorkun B., Bonitz L., Glasl B., Wilmes B., Lisson J. Application of a new viscoelastic finite element method model and analysis of miniscrew-supported hybrid hyrax treatment. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.*, 2013, vol. 143, pp. 426–435.
6. Chung C. H., Font B. Skeletal and dental changes in the sagittal, vertical, and transverse dimensions after rapid palatal expansion. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.*, 2004, vol. 126, pp. 569–575.
7. Cozzani M., Rosa M., Cozzani P., Siciliani G. Deciduous dentitionanchored rapid maxillary expansion in crossbite and non-crossbite mixed dentition patients: reaction of the permanent first molar. *Prog. Orthod.*, 2003, vol. 4, pp. 15–22.
8. Wertz R. A. Skeletal and dental changes accompanying rapid midpalatal suture opening. *Am. J. Orthod.*, 1970, vol. 58, pp. 41–46.
9. Timms D. J. A study of basal movement with rapid maxillary expansion. *Am. J. Orthod.*, 1980, vol. 77, pp. 500–507.
10. Baccetti T., Franchi L., Cameron C. G., McNamara J. A. Jr. Treatment timing for rapid maxillary expansion. *Angle Orthod.*, 2001, vol. 71, pp. 343–350.
11. Shetty V., Caridad J. M., Caputo A. A., Chaconas S. J. Biomechanical rationale for surgical-orthodontic expansion of the adult maxilla. *J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg.*, 1994, vol. 52, pp. 742–749.
12. Pavlin D., Vukicevic D. Mechanical reactions of facial skeleton to maxillary expansion determined by laser holography. *Am. J. Orthod.*, 1984, vol. 85, pp. 498–507.
13. Kragt G., Duterloo H. S., Ten Bosch J. J. The initial reaction of a macerated human skull caused by orthodontic cervical traction determined by laser metrology. *Am. J. Orthod.*, 1982, vol. 81, pp. 49–56.
14. Braun S., Bottrel J. A., Lee K. G., Lunazzi J. J., Legan H. L. The biomechanics of maxillary sutural expansion. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.*, 2000, vol. 118, pp. 257–261.
15. Book D., Lavelle C. Changes in craniofacial size and shape with two modes of orthodontic treatment. *J. Craniofac. Genet. Dev. Biol.*, 1988, vol. 8, pp. 207–223.
16. Tanne K., Miyasaka J., Yamagata Y., Sakuda M., Burstone C. J. Biomechanical changes in the craniofacial skeleton by the rapid expansion appliance. *J. Osaka Univ. Dental Soc.*, 1985, vol. 30, pp. 345–356.
17. Nakagawa M., Ichikawa K. Biomechanical effects of maxillary protraction on the craniofacial complex on the strain gauge measurements. *J. Japan Orthod. Soc.*, 1986, vol. 45, pp. 109–118.
18. Boryor A., Geigera M., Hohmann A., Wunderlich A., Sander C., Sander F. M., Sander F. G. Stress distribution and displacement analysis during an intermaxillary disjunction – A three-dimensional FEM study of a human skull. *J. Biomech.*, 2008, vol. 41, pp. 376–382.
19. Capelozza Filho L., De Almeida A. M., Ursi W. J. Rapid maxillary expansion in cleft lip and palate patients. *J. Clin. Orthod.*, 1994, vol. 28, pp. 34–39.
20. Cattaneo P., Dalstra M., Melsen B. The transfer of occlusal forces through the maxillary molars: a finite